Dean&Francis

ISSN 2959-6157

Recycled Aluminum Powder 3D Printing Technology and Its Application in Automotive Components

Xiaoxuan Jiang

Mechanical Engineering, College of Science and Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JW, The United Kingdom
greyscale.ovo@outlook.com

Abstract:

The automotive industry is increasingly moving toward lighter and more sustainable manufacturing practices to improve fuel efficiency and limit environmental impact. Aluminum alloys play a vital role in this transformation due to their superior strength-to-weight ratio and recyclability. In recent years, powder-based additive manufacturing (3D printing) has emerged as a key technology for producing complex automotive components. However, the high cost and limited availability of primary aluminum powder restrict its large-scale application. This paper reviews the research progress and applications of recycled aluminum powder in 3D printing of automotive components. It systematically summarizes its sources, preparation processes, and particle properties, and further analyzes its applicability in additive manufacturing processes such as Selective Laser Melting (SLM) and Directed Energy Deposition (DED). Additionally, comparisons are made between components fabricated from recycled powder and virgin powder regarding their mechanical properties, thermal performance, and corrosion resistance. Key challenges were identified, including impurity control, process stability, and quality inspection. The results demonstrate that proper powder recycling and processing enable recycled aluminum powder to replace virgin powder in certain automotive components, thereby achieving cost reduction and enhanced material utilization efficiency while offering a potential solution for sustainable automotive manufacturing.

Keywords: Additive Manufacturing; Automotive Components; Recycled Aluminum Powder; 3D Printing; Sustainability

ISSN 2959-6157

1. Introduction

In recent years, the automotive industry has witnessed an increasing demand for lightweight and sustainable manufacturing. In order to satisfy strict environmental standards and reduce fuel use, manufacturers must employ lightweight materials with high specific strength and recyclability, along with advanced production techniques, to maximize vehicle performance. Among various lightweight metals, aluminum alloys have garnered significant attention due to their outstanding mechanical properties, corrosion resistance, and recyclability. Meanwhile, additive manufacturing allows the direct fabrication of complex, functionally integrated components, providing an efficient means to produce high-performance automotive parts and increasingly becoming an important tool in industrial applications. Thus, the combined use of aluminum alloys and additive manufacturing in automotive components not only facilitates weight reduction but also aligns with the principles of a circular economy. However, the widespread adoption of aluminum-based 3D printing remains constrained by the high cost and limited supply of virgin aluminum powder. Consequently, while recycled aluminum powder offers cost benefits and supports a circular economy, it is commonly characterized by high impurity content, irregular morphology, and low flowability, factors that may compromise both part formation and final performance. By reviewing relevant literature and application cases, this paper explores the application progress of recycled aluminum powder in 3D printing of automotive components, including powder sources and preparation techniques, part properties, and comparisons with virgin powder. Technical challenges such as process optimization, defect control, and standardization are analyzed, and the potential for weight reduction, functional integration, and sustainable manufacturing is evaluated.

2. Application of Additive Manufacturing in Automotive Component Production

2.1 Automotive Lightweighting and Sustainability Requirements

As carbon neutrality initiatives advance and environmental regulations tighten, the automotive industry is accelerating its low-carbon transformation. Reducing vehicle mass by 10% can improve fuel efficiency by 6~8%, while also enhancing braking and handling performance, improving safety, and reducing operational costs and carbon emissions [1,2]. In the electric vehicle sector, weight reduction can also lower battery energy consumption and extend driving range.

Material selection is critical to automotive lightweighting. Aluminum alloys, with their low density, high specific strength, and excellent thermal conductivity, have been widely adopted in body structures and thermal management components. Their mature recycling systems also align with circular economy trends. In contrast, although magnesium alloys have an even lower density, their inadequate corrosion resistance and fatigue life restrict their application in critical load-bearing components. While composite materials like carbon fiber offer high strength-to-weight ratios, their high cost and complex processing make them primarily suitable for high-end or low-volume vehicle models. Considering overall performance, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability, aluminum alloys remain the most balanced choice. Beyond material optimization, advanced manufacturing processes are equally crucial. Additive manufacturing reduces material waste through near-net-shape forming and enables complex internal structures and topologically optimized designs, enabling lightweight, integrated components. Combined with recycled aluminum powder, additive manufacturing ensures part performance while reducing weight, energy consumption, and carbon emissions, achieving simultaneous optimization of lightweighting and sustainability. Thus, automotive lightweighting is increasingly realized through the combined optimization of materials, processes, and recycling, rather than through simple material substitution.

2.2 Additive Manufacturing Technologies and Their Advantages

Through layer-by-layer deposition, additive manufacturing facilitates the direct fabrication of components, allowing designs to be guided by the capabilities of the process rather than constrained by traditional manufacturing methods. This approach provides significant advantages in geometric freedom and functional integration. Complex designs such as intricate surfaces, internal cooling channels, and topologically optimized structures, which are difficult to achieve with conventional methods, can be produced in a single operation using additive manufacturing. This reduces the accumulation of assembly tolerances and improves part reliability.

In addition, additive manufacturing enables rapid prototyping and low-volume production. By eliminating the need for molds and tooling via digital-driven direct forming, it reduces component development cycles by approximately 40% and lowers upfront costs by around 20% [3]. This makes it particularly well-suited for rapid validation in electric vehicles and high-performance models. In contrast to subtractive machining, which removes most of the raw material and achieves utilization rates of only ten to twenty percent, additive manufacturing deposits material only where required, generates minimal support waste, and can achieve overall material utilization exceeding ninety percent. This high efficiency reduces production costs and conserves resources, while the integration of topology optimization or honeycomb structural design allows components produced through additive manufacturing for significant weight reduction while maintaining strength. For example, honeycomb suspension components manufactured using additive manufacturing reduce weight by more than thirty percent, while Honda's crankshaft produced via additive manufacturing achieves approximately fifty percent weight reduction without compromising durability. These cases demonstrate how additive manufacturing enhances design freedom and functional integration, providing a practical pathway toward lightweight automotive components.

3. Performance and Practice of Recycled Aluminum Powder in Automotive 3D Printing

3.1 Preparation Routes and Processing Methods

The preparation of metal powder determines key particle characteristics, including morphology, sphericity, particle size distribution, porosity, and oxide layer thickness. These characteristics significantly influence the forming quality and mechanical performance of additively manufactured parts. Thus, selecting an appropriate powder preparation method according to the desired material and performance requirements is crucial. And common methods include mechanical, chemical, and atomization techniques.

Mechanical methods such as ball milling can produce fine and uniform particles; however, their irregular particle shapes and poor flowability render them unsuitable for additive manufacturing processes requiring demand high powder bed layer uniformity [4]. In contrast, chemical methods, including reduction, electrodeposition, or solution precipitation-thermal decomposition, produce powders with controlled particle size and high purity; however, additional processing is usually required to achieve optimal sphericity [5]. Atomization is the most common method for preparing metal powders. In this process, molten metal is broken into fine droplets that rapidly solidify into particles. Water atomization is cost-effective and easy to operate, making it suitable for large-scale production of low- to medium-grade powders. The powders produced, though, are usually non-spherical with rough surfaces and poor flowability, and are mainly used for non-critical parts or as coarse feedstock. Gas atomization produces powders with high sphericity, good density, and low impurity levels, which makes it suitable for high-end additive manufacturing, though it requires more energy and cost [6]. Advanced processes such as plasma atomization and electrode induction melting can yield powders with very high sphericity, uniform particle size, and excellent purity. These are used where strict performance and reusability are required, but the systems are complex, costly, and energy-intensive, with relatively low yields. Recycled powders are usually collected, screened, and purified before being blended, spheroidized, or sintered to improve morphology, flowability, and consistency, ensuring reliable performance in additive manufacturing.

3.2 Microstructural Characteristics and Forming Quality

In metal additive manufacturing, particularly powder bed fusion processes, powder particle characteristics directly determine part fabrication quality and mechanical properties. Particle morphology, particle size distribution

ISSN 2959-6157

(PSD), pore structure, surface oxide layers, and interparticle friction affect layer uniformity, melt pool stability, pore formation, interlayer bonding, and susceptibility to cracking. If these properties are not properly controlled, performance deficiencies may be difficult to offset, even with subsequent heat treatment or post-processing optimization.

Powder flowability is a key factor that determines the uniformity of powder spreading and the density of printed parts [7,8]. It is affected by particle morphology, particle size distribution (PSD), surface texture, chemical composition, and environmental conditions such as humidity. Spherical particles, with their low surface-to-volume ratio, reduce interparticle friction and promote better flowability and layer uniformity. In contrast, irregular particles hinder flow and often result in lower part density [9,10]. The particle size distribution also plays a critical role in achieving uniform powder layers and consistent bulk density. Excessive amounts of either very fine or very coarse particles can cause segregation or poor sieving behavior, leading to defects such as uneven layer density, splattering, voids, or balling [11]. A wide particle size distribution (PSD) can improve powder packing and pressure retention efficiency but may reduce layer uniformity and flowability, whereas a narrow PSD enhances interparticle flow and volume stability [12]. Different additive manufacturing processes also have specific PSD requirements: Electron Beam Powder Bed Fusion (EB-PBF) typically uses 45~110 μm powders, Binder Jetting requires 20~100 μm, and Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF) favors 15~45 μm [13]. In addition, porosity and crack susceptibility are key indicators of part integrity, as high porosity or cracks adversely affect dimensional accuracy and interlayer bonding. Surface roughness influences not only part appearance and dimensional tolerances but also laser energy absorption and bonding performance between layers.

3.3 Mechanical Properties and Service Performance

AlSi10Mg components fabricated by LPBF exhibit differences in mechanical properties and corrosion behavior compared to conventional castings. The microstructure, residual stresses, surface roughness, porosity, and hot cracks of these components directly affect their electrochemical response, susceptibility to pitting, and fatigue life Process parameters and heat treatment play a decisive role in passive film formation and crack propagation. Techniques such as shot peening, deburring, or hot isostatic pressing (HIP) can significantly improve high-cycle fatigue performance. As a result, components fabricated using recycled powder after a moderate number of recycling cycles exhibit a slight decrease in yield strength, but their ultimate tensile strength remains close to that of the original as-cast alloy [14]. Prolonged recycling or improper control can lead to the accumulation of oxide layers and impurities, as well as changes in particle morphology, thereby reducing mechanical properties [15]. Conversely, with a moderate number of recycling cycles and with well-controlled quality, improved powder flowability can enhance densification and slightly boost performance. Heat treatment induces microstructural adjustments that reduce hardness and increase ductility while enhancing resistance to fatigue crack propagation [16]. The accumulation of oxides in recycled powders increases defect frequency, reduces melt wettability, and leads to poor interlayer bonding. Due to the large surface area of powders, repeated recycling may introduce additional oxides, increase porosity and adversely affect overall mechanical properties [17]. From an application perspective, additive manufacturing can produce heat sinks and cooling housings with complex internal channels, improving heat transfer and reducing weight. However, achieving automotive-grade performance still requires long-term thermal and corrosion testing. Meanwhile, workshop fixtures, assembly jigs, and test parts offer low-cost, fast solutions for dimension and process optimization. As such, these have become the earliest commercial applications of additive manufacturing in the automotive industry.

3.4 Virgin Powder and Recycled Powder Comparison

Recycled aluminum powder exhibits significant performance differences compared to virgin powder, presenting practical challenges for its application in automotive 3D printing. During multiple recycling cycles, recycled aluminum powder undergoes increases in particle size, elevated oxygen content, and thickening of surface oxide layers. These changes adversely affect melt pool stability and part density. For example, after long-term reuse, the oxygen content in AlSi10Mg powder can reach twice that of virgin powder, altering the material's melting and solidification behavior which further leads to a decline in mechanical properties. Parts manufactured from recycled powder typically exhibit reduced yield strength and shortened fatigue life, though different alloy systems or reuse strategies may yield varying outcomes. Therefore, in industrial applications, it is essential to systematically determine reuse cycles, screening, and powder replenishment strategies, while integrating online monitoring to ensure consistent part performance.

Additionally, recycled powder present significant safety and handling challenges. Fine aluminum powder, for example, is classified as a combustible and explosive material. Repeated reuse of powder demands careful management of ventilation, inerting, dehumidification, and dust control. Without proper precautions, operational risks rise and compliance with ESG standards may be compromised. The recycling of metal powders requires careful attention to both material properties and safety management due to performance variations and handling risks. Differences between virgin and recycled powders can influence the mechanical behavior and service life of manufactured components, highlighting the need for a robust and standardized powder recycling system in industrial applications.

These safety and management issues, coupled with performance variations, collectively indicate that the recycling of powder requires simultaneous consideration of material property control and safety management. Consequently, the differences between virgin powder and recycled powder not only impact on the mechanical properties and service performance of components but also underscore the importance of establishing a comprehensive powder recycling management and standardization system for industrial applications.

4. Challenges and Future Development of 3D Printing with Recycled Aluminum Powder

4.1 Powder Quality and Performance Stability

After multiple recycling cycles, the particle characteristics of recycled aluminum powder directly impact the stability of additive manufacturing processes and the mechanical properties of parts. An uneven particle size distribution leads to fluctuations in powder bed thickness, which in turn affects melt pool formation and results in localized regions of insufficient density or porosity. Irregularly shaped or agglomerated particles may cause incomplete melting in certain regions, which increases the risk of residual stresses and the initiation of microcracks. This issue is especially significant in thin-walled or complex structural components.

Poor powder flowability can reduce the uniformity of powder spreading, resulting in uneven heat distribution within the melt pool during laser scanning. Consequently, interlayer bonding quality and surface finish may be adversely affected. The accumulation of residual stresses can lead to part warping or geometric distortions, thus reducing structural stability and fatigue performance. Under high cyclic loading or thermal cycling, microdefects can quickly develop into macroscopic failures. To guarantee the performance of parts in industrial applications, powder characteristics must be strictly regulated via meticulous process control and thorough inspection. Typical measures involve sieving or grading the powder to eliminate oversized or agglomerated particles, boosting flowability during powder bed preparation through vibration or airflow, and continuously monitoring particle distribution, bed thickness, and key process parameters, including laser power, scanning speed, and scanning strategy, to enable timely adjustments of the printing conditions. These measures can help maintain stable melt pools and uniform part density. It is evident that powder characteristics play a critical role in the stability of the additive manufacturing process and directly influence both part reliability and production efficiency.

4.2 Forming Defects and Process Optimization

Additive manufacturing parts often develop cracks, porosity, residual stress accumulation, and geometric deviations during fabrication. These defects can degrade static and fatigue performance and may quickly evolve into localized failures under cyclic thermal loading or operational conditions. The high thermal conductivity of aluminum alloys causes rapid cooling of the molten pool, which promotes the concentration of residual stresses. In addition, irregular powder particles and oxide layers increase

ISSN 2959-6157

porosity and reduce the uniformity of interlayer bonding, facilitating the formation of microcracks and localized anisotropy.

To address these issues, optimizing process parameters is key to controlling macro defects. By adjusting laser power, scanning speed, and scanning path, the heat flux distribution within the molten pool can be effectively regulated—this not only improves the quality of interlayer bonding but also reduces the formation of porosity and cracks. A well-designed scanning strategy not only controls residual stresses but also enhances part geometric accuracy and surface finish. Furthermore, powder bed thickness and flow control directly impact laser melt pool stability and part density, serving as prerequisites for ensuring manufacturing consistency. Post-processing techniques can further enhance performance: hot isostatic pressing (HIP) seals pores, reduces residual stresses, and improves fatigue life; heat treatment optimizes microstructure to enhance strength and toughness; deburring and polishing reduce surface roughness and minimize stress concentrations, thus increasing operational reliability. Collectively, these measures ensure the mechanical properties, geometric accuracy, and industrial reproducibility of components—thereby laying the foundation for the reliable application of recycled aluminum powder in automotive additive manufacturing.

4.3 Lack of Standards and Industry Bottlenecks

Industrial additive manufacturing still encounters significant bottlenecks in the batch production of automotive components. Layer-by-layer construction results in lengthy printing times per part. Even when large components are divided into smaller parts to shorten build cycles, output increases remain limited, thereby restricting the feasibility of mass production. In repetitive cyclic production process, slight deviations in process parameters can easily cause inconsistencies in part dimensions and mechanical properties, increasing the difficulty of quality control.

Besides, post-processing techniques have a direct impact on both the mechanical properties and dimensional accuracy of additively manufactured components. As-built surfaces often fail to meet industry finish standards, requiring enhancements via grinding, polishing, or precision chemical treatments. Removing support structures is not only time-consuming but can also introduce residual stresses or minor geometric distortions, which may compromise fatigue performance and assembly precision. Such complex post-processing workflows pose particular challenges for mass production, placing stringent demands on production line design and overall manufacturing cycle times.

Moreover, the absence of unified process standards and monitoring protocols leads to variability in dimensional accuracy, mechanical properties, and surface finish across different part batches. The lack of clear specifications for recycled powder further complicates the adjustment of printing parameters and process control. Moreover, limited production capacity, complex post-processing, and inconsistent procedures directly affect part performance and batch-to-batch consistency. Thus, optimizing printing parameters, production workflows, and post-processing techniques is essential for ensuring part quality and industrial-scale reproducibility.

4.4 Material Innovation and Green Manufacturing

The core of green development in aluminum 3D printing lies in realizing high-performance part manufacturing while reducing material waste and energy consumption through powder recycling and alloy optimization. Specifically, quantifiable engineering guidelines are developed for powder recycling. The effects of different recycling strategies, including single-batch processing, top-up powder replenishment, and refreshing with screening and replenishment, are examined on powder chemical composition, particle morphology, oxygen content, as well as the mechanical properties and fatigue performance of the final parts. This clarifies the upper limits for powder reuse, the optimal powder replenishment ratios, and screening thresholds, ensuring stable performance of recycled powder during repeated use [18]. Besides, alloy design must prioritize process adaptability for recycled powders. Developing aluminum-based alloys with low sensitivity to oxidation and inclusions, minimal tendency for solidification cracking, and favorable heat treatability, together with microalloying correction strategies, can improve the robustness of powder recycling. This ensures processing reliability and consistent part performance in PBF/DED processes [19]. Powder quality control should implement a closed-loop system covering purification, characterization, and online monitoring. By combining low-temperature, low-energy deoxygenation, dehumidification, and organic contaminant removal with online monitoring of composition, oxygen content, oxide layer thickness, particle size, and flowability, the entire powder production process can be fully traced [20]. In industry, efficient powder use and stable part performance rely on integrating powder recycling databases, monitoring systems, and robust aluminum alloys. Meanwhile, optical, acoustic, and thermal imaging combined with molten pool modeling enable real-time adjustments to powder state and immediate shutdowns when needed. Digital twins further predict defects and optimize scanning strategies, supporting precise, low-defect part production and reinforcing standardized green manufacturing practices [21].

5. Conclusion

As the automotive industry seeks lighter, stronger, and greener solutions, 3D printing with recycled aluminum powder offers new routes for sustainable manufacturing. This paper reviews the sources, properties, and main processing methods of recycled aluminum powder and assesses its use in automotive parts. The results demonstrate that with proper recycling and processing, reclaimed aluminum powder can substitute for virgin powder in some automotive components, lowering costs and improving material use. Challenges remain, including variable powder quality, inconsistent printing performance, and the absence of standardized procedures. Future work should improve powder management, refine material properties, optimize process control, and establish quality assessment methods and industry standards. Therefore, recycled aluminum powder offers both practical engineering value and environmental benefits in automotive applications, and it is likely to become increasingly important as these technologies advance.

References

[1] Pooler, M. (2019). How to lightweight a car-in charts. https://www.ft.com/content/77353112-65bf-11e9-b809-6f0d2f5705f6

[2] Zhang, W., & Xu, J. (2022). Advanced lightweight materials for automobiles: A review. Materials & Design, 221, 110994.

[3] Nayeem, A. M., & Hossain, M. M. N. (2023). Usage of additive manufacturing in the automotive industry: A review. Bangladesh Journal of Multidisciplinary Scientific Research, 8(1), 9-20.

[4] Lanzutti, A., & Marin, E. (2024). The challenges and advances in recycling/re-using powder for metal 3D printing: A comprehensive review. Metals, 14(8), 886.

[5] Wei, L. K., Abd Rahim, S. Z., Al Bakri Abdullah, M. M., et al. (2023). Producing metal powder from machining chips using ball milling process: A review. Materials, 16(13), 4635.

[6] Kassym, K., & Perveen, A. (2020). Atomization processes of metal powders for 3D printing. Materials Today: Proceedings, 26(2), 1727-1733.

[7] Radchenko, O. K., & Gogaev, K. O. (2022). Requirements for metal and alloy powders for 3D printing. Powder Metallurgy and Metal Ceramics, 61(3), 135-154.

[8] Kiani, P., Scipioni Bertoli, U., Dupuy, A. D., Ma, K., & Schoenung, J. M. (2020). A statistical analysis of powder flowability in metal additive manufacturing. Advanced Engineering Materials, 22(10), 2000022.

[9] Aulton, M. E., & Taylor, K. M. (2007). Aulton's pharmaceutics: The design and manufacture of medicines (3rd ed., pp. 176-178).

[10] Yim, S., Bian, H., Aoyagi, K., et al. (2023). Effect of powder morphology on flowability and spreading behavior in powder bed fusion additive manufacturing process: A particle-scale modeling study. Additive Manufacturing, 72, 103612.

[11] Nguyen, Q. B., Nai, M. L. S., Zhu, Z., Sun, C. N., Wei, J., & Zhou, W. (2017). Characteristics of Inconel powders for powder-bed additive manufacturing. Engineering, 3(5), 695-700.

[12] Habibnejad-Korayem, M., Zhang, J., & Zou, Y. (2021). Effect of particle size distribution on the flowability of plasma atomized Ti-6Al-4V powders. Powder Technology, 392, 536-543.

[13] Mostafaei, A., De Vecchis, P. R., Nettleship, I., & Chmielus, M. (2019). Effect of powder size distribution on densification and microstructural evolution of binder-jet 3D-printed alloy 625. Materials & Design, 162, 375-383.

[14] Coşkun, M., Dizdar, K. C., Tarakçi, G., Özer, G., & Dispinar, D. (2022). Recycling of additive manufactured AlSi10Mg and its effect on mechanical properties. Materials Chemistry and Physics, 289, 126411.

[15] Weiss, C., Haefner, C. L., & Munk, J. (2022). On the

ISSN 2959-6157

influence of AlSi10Mg powder recycling behavior in the LPBF process and consequences for mechanical properties. JOM, 74(3), 1188-1199.
[16] Fernandes, R. F., et al. (2024). Failure analysis of fatigue crack propagation in specimens of AlSi10Mg aluminum alloy produced by L-PBF: Effect of different heat treatments. Engineering Failure Analysis, 163, 108595.
[17] Yakubov, V., Ostergaard, H., Bhagavath, S., et al. (2024). Recycled aluminium feedstock in metal additive manufacturing: A state of the art review. Heliyon, 10(5).
[18] Warner, J. H., Ringer, S. P., & Proust, G. (2024). Strategies for metallic powder reuse in powder bed fusion: A review. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 110, 263-290.
[19] Yadegari, M. J., Martucci, A., Biamino, S., et al. (2025). Aluminum laser additive manufacturing: A review on challenges and opportunities through the lens of sustainability. Applied Sciences, 15(4), 2221.
[20] Yakubov, V., Ostergaard, H., Bhagavath, S., Leung, C. L. A., Hughes, J., Yasa, E., Khezri, M., Löschke, S. K., Li, Q., & Paradowska, A. M. (2024). Recycled aluminium feedstock in metal additive manufacturing: A state of the art review. Heliyon, 10(5), e27243.
[21] Su, J., Ng, W. L., An, J., Yeong, W. Y., Chua, C. K., & Sing, S. L. (2024). Achieving sustainability by additive manufacturing: A state-of-the-art review and perspectives. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 19(1).