ISSN 2959-409X

Brain-Computer Interface Technology in Stroke Rehabilitation

Cheng Zhao

School of Biotechnology, Tianjin University of Science & Technology, Tianjin, China
zhaocheng@stu.sqxy.edu.cn

Abstract:

Stroke survivors and their families have had to cope with major obstacles, but Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology is being touted as an innovation that is a game changer. Traditional modes of rehabilitation offer only minimal help to the severely impaired; however, BCI technology provides a unique ray of hope by enabling direct communication between the brain and devices for therapy and interactive purposes. This means not only restoring movement skills or improving thinking and talking abilities but also transforming the way a person interacts with their surroundings after having a stroke. Despite this potentiality, there are several challenges that must be overcome before BCI technology can be effectively applied in clinics, like signal decoding complexity and universality & accessibility hunt. It seeks to delve into the possibilities of BCI in rehabilitation after stroke, focusing on motor recovery, cognitive and communication restoration as well as environmental interactions. These include demonstrations of innovative uses such as fNIRS-based BCIs for controlling prosthetic limbs, neurofeedback training for cognitive enhancements, and making better use of customizing BCIs to improve mobility and communication. However, it also addresses the obstacles faced in signal acquisition, interpretation, personalization, adaptation, and the training necessary for effective BCI utilization. In order to tackle these issues, forward-looking algorithms, machine learning hybrid BCI systems, and adaptive learning systems were discussed, which mark out how these obstacles will be overcome in this detailed review. The article underscores interdisciplinary collaboration in addition to user-centric designs, ethical considerations regarding access, and continued innovation, which all speak towards a future where BCI technology not only overcomes current limitations but makes rehabilitation different, giving new hope and skills among stroke survivors again.

Keywords: BCI; stroke rehabilitation; signal decoding; neurofeedback training; neuroplasticity

1. Introduction

When trying to recover from a stroke, people and their families get tangled up with difficulties and uncertainties. Strokes can thwart communication pathways in the brain that are crucial for physical, cognitive, and emotional functions. This usually leaves survivors with intense hardships as they embark on their journey back to normalcy. Although conventional rehab methods have been found effective, they don‘t offer much help to those who are more severely impaired.

Brain-computer interface (BCI) technology has recently emerged as a solution to address this issue. It was not created as just another innovation but rather presents itself as a lifeline for those seeking recovery after a stroke. Unlike traditional rehab practices, which rely on rebuilding damaged pathways, BCI technology takes information directly from the user‘s brain to external devices, where it is sent out into the world or used for therapeutic purposes.

It might sound like something out of science fiction novels, but BCI actually shows promise in restoring motor function and augmenting cognitive and communicative capabilities. The thought of using one’s thoughts alone to power prosthetics or interact with digital environments is nothing short of extraordinary.

However, there is still an arduous path ahead before BCI technology can be seamlessly integrated into clinical settings. Technological intricacies such as signal decoding pose monumental challenges when it comes to capturing brain activity accurately. Additionally, the golden standard here is universality and accessibility - two things that will not be easily achieved.

Despite these barriers, though, we must push forward in our efforts because there‘s really no other option at this point. Right now, strokes impose immense limitations on people‘s lives, but if we are able to crack the code behind the successful implementation of BCI tech, then there may be hope yet! This article aims to do exactly that by exploring every inch of possibility within this field so that stroke survivors can regain control over their lives once again.

2. The Promise of BCIs in Stroke Re-

habilitation

2.1 Motor Rehabilitation

BCI technology facilitates a direct communication pathway between the brain and external devices without the need for any physical movement. This is particularly revolutionary for motor rehabilitation, where the primary goal is to re-establish the lost connection between intention and action. BCI systems decode neural signals generated by the brain—signals that represent the intent to move—and translate them into commands that control external devices, such as robotic arms, computer cursors, or electrical stimulation units.

One of the most significant applications of BCI technology in motor rehabilitation involves the use of these systems to control robotic exoskeletons or prosthetic limbs. For stroke survivors, especially those with severe motor impairments, traditional rehabilitation methods may offer limited improvements. BCIs, however, can enable these individuals to control robotic devices with their thoughts, thereby engaging in physical activities and exercises that were previously impossible.

The study introduces a brand new fNIRS-based brain-computer interface (BCI) structure as a means to control prosthetic legs and rehabilitate locomotive disorders patients. By using fNIRS signals, this study aims to initiate and stop the gait cycle using a nonlinear proportional derivative computed torque controller (PD-CTC) with gravity compensation so as to minimize position error by controlling torques at hip and knee joints. Brain signals related to walking intention and rest were collected from the primary motor cortex of nine subjects in this study. Different filters for eliminating motion artifacts and physiological noises, as well as several classifiers for finding the most effective combination concerning classification accuracy, were examined. The outcomes revealed that SVM classifiers employing hemodynamic response filter (hrf) yielded significantly higher accuracies than other combinations. This proposed strategy enabled the efficient production of commands for initiating and terminating movement phases in prosthetic limbs, controlling knee and hip torques aimed at minimizing position errors. Furthermore, it could prove helpful during neurofeedback training or rehabilitation programs directed at lower-limb amputees or paraplegic patients [1].

2.2 Cognitive and Communication Rehabilitation

Stroke can cause cognitive impairments such as attention problems, memory deficits, executive dysfunction, and problem-solving difficulties. This makes BCI technology the best tool for cognitive rehabilitation since it involves patients in brain-training tasks that stimulate their brain functions. Such programs are designed specifically for this purpose. They are aimed at capturing users' attention, challenging their cognitive faculties, and providing them with real-time feedback, which is significant for cognitive recovery and neuroplasticity.

Another critical area where BCIs can be used for therapy is communication. If one cannot speak or write due to aphasia caused by a stroke or has severe motor impairments, BCIs may still offer alternative means of communication. By reading neural signals associated with intended speech or language production, BCIs can facilitate written text or speech synthesis without any physical movement.

One of the most studied BCI systems used for communication involves detecting P300 waves - a type of event-related potential that occurs when an individual recognizes a character or symbol that they desire – known as a desired letter – highlighted on screen matrixes showing different letters. Users are thereby able to spell out words and sentences by simply allowing BCIs to detect specific P300 responses whenever the highlighted letter is desired. For this reason, they facilitate communication.

The study discusses the potential of neurofeedback (NFB) training using brain-computer interfacing to improve attention in healthy adults. The research employs an innovative technique that applies iterative learning control (ILC) to change the difficulty of a P300 speller task during NFB training with the aim of improving event-related potentials (ERPs) as well as cognitive performance. The study was carried out as a single-blind, three-arm, randomized controlled trial, including 45 healthy participants who were divided into groups and who were receiving different methods of task difficulty adaptation during a P300 spelling task. Results show that all groups exhibited significant gains in performance on the visual spatial attention task after training, with the group utilizing the proposed ILC approach recording 22% increased amplitude of P300s during training and 17% reduced post-training alpha power, indicating enhanced attentional focus. This analysis proves that there are prospects for ERP-based NFB training using a P300 speller in enhancing cognitive processes, highlighting how personalized task difficulty adaptation can be effective in speeding up teaching and increasing successfulness among healthy adults. The investigation indicates that there must be an acceleration of NFB practice so as to increase its acceptability and feasibility by end-users and clinicians alike [2].

2.3 Environmental Interactions

BCI technology, on the other hand, is not restricted to physical and cognitive rehabilitation-only; it also stretches to enhance environmental interaction among stroke survivors. BCI technology serves as a bridge between an individual's intention and interaction with his or her immediate surroundings, thereby laying a firm foundation for independence and bettering life quality in post-stroke survivors who have mobility impairments and communication difficulties.

Mobility plays a vital role in determining one's freedom; thus, the adoption of BCI technology has been instrumental in alleviating mobility challenges experienced by stroke victims. BCIs present an alternative way for people with disabilities such as spinal cord injuries to move around using thought commands that interface with wheelchair control systems or prosthetic limbs. Direct brain control over mobility aids enhances independence both physically while bringing about psychological well-being by restoring autonomy and self-efficacy.

BCI devices are more than objects controlling one's environment; they play a significant role in assisting people to communicate with what is beyond themselves. Several ways of communication other than speech can be facilitated by BCIs among individuals who have aphasia or profound speech impairment/motor disorders, including producing text or synthesizing speech, among others. By decoding neural signals associated with intended communication or utilizing visual or auditory cues for selection processes, BCI systems enable users to express their thoughts, needs, and desires, thereby breaking down barriers to social interaction and participation [3].

3. Challenges Facing BCI Integration in Stroke Rehabilitation

3.1 Signal Acquisition and Interpretation

The human brain is a very complex organ, with about 86 billion neurons forming intricate connections and engaging in different patterns of activity. This complexity makes it difficult to capture specific thoughts or intentions associated with neural signals due to its profoundness. In stroke recovery, for example, where brain damage may change normal signal patterns, such distinctions get even harder.

In terms of signal acquisition, the issue at hand is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Normally, electrical activities from muscles (EMG), eye movement (EOG), and external electromagnetic fields cause noise when dealing with non-invasive techniques like electroencephalography (EEG), which measure brain signals. Sophisticated filtering, as well as advanced signal processing methods, make up this delicate process involved in distinguishing meaningful neural signals from this noise [4].

Furthermore, the problem is compounded by artifacts - extraneous signals unlinked to the desired cognitive processes – which can drastically affect accuracy during the signal interpretation phase because low SNR prevails. Blinking movements or user's movements could result in artifacts while using equipment, too. Therefore, developing methods that can detect these artifacts, effectively replace them, or even reduce their impact is crucial to improving the dependability of BCI systems [5].

3.2 Personalization and Adaptation

One of the key problems of personalizing BCI technology lies in the fact that different individuals have different patterns of brain activity. The specific signs that may indicate certain intentions or conditions can vary enormously from one person to another due to age, the extent of stroke-induced neurological damage, previous experience with similar technologies, as well as genetic predispositions. Considering this variation necessitates BCI systems, which are highly adapted so that they can be customized for each user's particular neural landscape.

Stroke rehabilitation is a dynamic process because patients continually change what they are capable of and face new challenges. As people move through their journey of recovery, their capacities and needs might change, thereby demanding equal dynamism from BCI systems. Creating this kind of adaptive BCI system means having a real-time response mechanism that ensures that it continuously supports services in line with ever-changing demands during recovery.

For personalized BCI technology to work effectively, it should respond not only according to an individual's brain patterns but also be subjectively acceptable by him/her based on his/her tastes, preferences, or lifestyle. Aesthetic and functionality aspects like wearability qualities for EEG caps or other sensing devices, ease-of-use interface software, and assistive device appearances do matter when it comes to user acceptability as well as fidelity towards interventions based on BCIs over time [6].

3.3 Adaptation and Training

For BCI systems to remain effective over time, they have to be provided with mechanisms for continuous learning as well as adaptation. These need machine learning algorithms capable of adjusting to both short-term fluctuations as well as longer-term changes in brain activity patterns. Nonetheless, guaranteeing the stability of such adaptive algorithms to prevent inadvertent consequences or mistakes during their growth poses major technical challenges.

In BCI adaptation and training, one of the major difficulties is that different people have varying abilities to learn and utilize the system effectively. An individual's brain plasticity, cognitive functioning, and motivation significantly affect their ability to use BCI devices. Some users may readily adjust to operating a device with their minds, while others may see the process as slow and frustrating. This will require subtle adjustments during training so as not to affect the user's motivation or confidence within different learning curves [7].

One of the key aims of stroke rehabilitation is exploiting neuroplasticity, which signifies a brain's capacity to restructure itself through the formation of new neural connections. Nonetheless, making BCI systems that can effectively stimulate neuroplasticity for functional recovery complicates adaptation as well as training procedures. The challenge here lies in determining when exactly it should be done so that overall adaptive capacity can be increased, leading to actual improvements in motor or cognitive abilities, respectively [8].

Effective feedback is crucial for BCI training and adaptation because it gives users real-time responses on how they are performing over time. Nevertheless, creating intuitive feedback mechanisms that are meaningful enough for enhanced learning has been found hard because it always looks easy but is not straightforward at all times unless one understands what they are trying to achieve with diverse populations affected differently by either auditory or visual stimuli provided under specific circumstances [9, 10].

4. The Path Forward: Addressing Challenges

Overcoming the technical challenges of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology in stroke rehabilitation calls for creative solutions and interdisciplinary collaborations. In order to get closer to realizing its full potential in clinical settings, issues regarding signal acquisition, interpretation, hardware and software constraints, and personalization need to be addressed. Here are some proposed solutions.

4.1 Advanced Algorithms and Machine Learning

Improving BCI technology with advanced algorithms is based on signal processing and feature extraction. Neural signals captured by electroencephalography or EEG are intricate and usually contaminated by noise originating from various sources. Advanced signal processing techniques are used to cleanse these signals and extract relevant neural information from artifacts/outside noise. Furthermore, feature extraction algorithms further analyze these processed signals in order to identify specific patterns or characteristics that link them up with different brain activities or intentions [11].

Machine learning models, especially deep learning, have been exceptional in feature extraction and classification tasks. Take, for instance, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), which can learn complex patterns within temporal sequences of neural data; thus, they can be used for decoding dynamic brain activities over time [12].

The adaptability of the BCI system is crucial due to the dynamic nature of the human brain as well as its capability for neuroplasticity. Through continuous training on incoming data, machine learning models can adjust their parameters as a response to changes in the user‘s brain signal patterns. Such adaptation is important for maintaining efficacy over time, particularly in rehabilitation contexts where a user‘s neurological condition may change.

Adaptive Learning Systems within BCIs do not just cater to long-term alterations but also facilitate moment-to-moment fluctuations in neural signals. This ensures that performance remains consistent even when there are variations due to attention shifts, fatigue, or physiological/environmental factors [13, 14].

Personalization is another critical area in which machine-learning algorithms are important. By using data from individual users, machine learning models can customize BCI interfaces and functionalities to a person‘s preferences, abilities, and rehabilitation goals. This user-centric approach promotes engagement, comfort, and overall effectiveness of BCIs.

Unsupervised learning techniques such as clustering can be used for discovering patterns within user data without predefined labels, hence providing insights into how to optimally tailor the BCI experience for different groups of users or even individuals. In addition, reinforcement learning, which involves algorithms that learn optimal actions by trial and error, could help to dynamically adjust BCI settings or feedback in real time based on user responses [15].

4.2 Hybrid BCI Systems

A hybrid BCI system integrates two or more different BCI technologies or includes BCI in combination with other neurotechnology interfaces such as neuroimaging or neuromodulation techniques. In this way, the system can take advantage of strengths while mitigating individual weaknesses. For instance, a hybrid BCI may combine electroencephalography (EEG), which has high temporal resolution, with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), having superior spatial precision and providing a more holistic understanding of brain activity.

Hybridization offers several important benefits for BCI systems, including improved accuracy, versatility, and customer satisfaction. The use of several signal acquisition approaches increases the accuracy of detecting user intentions and translating them into commands. This is especially important where fine control, such as prosthetic limb manipulation or accurate cursor movement on a screen, is desired [16].

Moreover, hybrid systems also offer greater versatility by meeting various customers‘ requirements. The design can permit switching between different modes depending on context or task, creating flexibility and usability for the system. Furthermore, through multiple channels connecting a user to the system, hybrid BCIs can still operate even if one channel fails, thus keeping performance constant [17].

4.3 Adaptive Learning Systems

In BCI technology, adaptive learning systems are founded on the machine learning principle that enables systems to learn from data, identify patterns, and make decisions with the least possible human input. Adaptive learning systems employ this ability to always update or modify their algorithms based on current feedback from the individual's brain activity. Through this ongoing process of learning, it ensures that the BCI system stays up-to-date with the user's current state and needs, thus making it more responsive than ever before [18].

4.2.1 Main components and strategies

Real-Time Feedback Loop: The real-time feedback loop is a critical aspect of adaptive learning systems that consistently monitor the person's brain signals and system responses. This information alters the system's algorithms so that its interface adapts to changing needs while maintaining utmost performance levels.

Personalization: Adaptive learning systems personalize each user's experience with the BCI by matching interface designs and control strategies with individual capabilities as well as preferences. Examples of personalization may include adjusting signal detection sensitivity, choosing an alternative layout for an interface, or selecting effective feedback modes for a particular user.

Incremental Learning: As opposed to retraining, the model poses a flat advantage for these types of systems. Using incremental 2learning methods, they can be exposed to new sets of data. In situations where there is a gradual improvement or decline regarding patient conditions' incremental training proves valuable in rehabilitation contexts.

Error Correction & Optimisation: Real-time error recognition capability inherent in adaptive learning systems helps them correct their mistakes online. By examining instances where predictions from the system do not correspond to users' intentions, these algorithms keep on enhancing their models for better accuracy and reduce false positives or negatives.

5. Conclusion

This work is on the verge of a new era in stroke rehabilitation, with the dawn of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology heralding a shift in our recovery mindsets. This trip has combined neurology, engineering, and custom-made medicine to open up ways of brightening the lives of stroke patients. In this way, BCI technology helps us see a time ahead when recovering from a stroke would not be as hard as it is now but will just be another challenge that can easily be overcome. The future is one where rehab will always reach beyond what we think and bring back life to once hopeless cases.

The potential and problems associated with BCI technology are highlighted in this exploration. We have seen how it can transform motor, cognitive, and communication rehabilitation processes so that people can engage their environment in ways previously thought impossible. But it's a journey with lots of challenges along the way. Therefore, there are also some technicalities in this realm, such as individualization needs, accessibility, and integration into clinical settings, that must be handled carefully and creatively.

References

[1]Khan RA, Naseer N, Qureshi NK, Noori FM, Nazeer H, Khan MU. fNIRS-based Neurorobotic Interface for gait rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2018; 15(1):7.

[2]Noble SC, Woods E, Ward T, Ringwood JV. Accelerating P300-based neurofeedback training for attention enhancement using iterative learning control: a randomized controlled trial. J Neural Eng. 2024; 21(2).

[3]Pirasteh A, Shamseini Ghiyasvand M, Pouladian M. EEG-based brain-computer interface methods with the aim of rehabilitating advanced stage ALS patients. Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol. 2024:1-11.

[4]Sun, S., & Zhou, J. A review of adaptive feature extraction and classification methods for EEG-based brain-computer interfaces. 2014 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2014,1746-1753.

[5]Jafarifarmand, A., & Badamchizadeh, M.A. EEG Artifacts Handling in a Real Practical Brain-Computer Interface Controlled Vehicle. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 2019,27, 1200-1208.

[6]Othman, A. Utilizing Brain-Computer Interface to Enhance the Learning Capacities of Individuals with Impairments: A Brief Review. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews.2022, 3(11),1248-1252

[7]McFarland DJ, Wolpaw JR. Brain-computer interface use is a skill that the user and system acquire together. PLoS Biol. 2018; 16(7):e2006719.

[8]Maier M, Ballester BR, Verschure PFMJ. Principles of

Neurorehabilitation After Stroke Based on Motor Learning and Brain Plasticity Mechanisms. Front Syst Neurosci. 2019; 13:74.
[9]Ishihara, W., Moxon, K.A., Ehrman, S., Yarborough, M., Panontin, T.L., & Nathan-Roberts, D. Feedback Modalities in Brain–Computer Interfaces: A Systematic Review. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 2020, 64, 1186 - 1190.
[10]Angulo-Sherman, I.N., & Gutiérrez, D. Effect of different feedback modalities in the performance of brain-computer interfaces. 2014 International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Computers (CONIELECOMP), 2014, 14-21.
[11]Pawar D, Dhage S N. Feature extraction methods for electroencephalography based brain-computer interface: a review[J]. Entropy, 2020, 1: 4.
[12]Murad A, Pyun J Y. Deep recurrent neural networks for human activity recognition[J]. Sensors, 2017, 17(11): 2556.
[13]Da Silva-Sauer, L., Torre-Luque, A.D., Silva, J.S., & Fernández-Calvo, B. New Perspectives for Cognitive Rehabilitation: Could Brain-Computer Interface Systems Benefit People With Dementia? Psychology & Neuroscience, 2019, 12, 25–37.
[14]Saha, S., & Baumert, M. Intra- and Inter-subject Variability in EEG-Based Sensorimotor Brain Computer Interface: A Review. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience, 2020, 13.
[15]Hassouni A, Hoogendoorn M, van Otterlo M, et al. Personalization of health interventions using cluster-based reinforcement learning[C]//PRIMA 2018: Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems: 21st International Conference, Tokyo, Japan, October 29-November 2, 2018, Proceedings 21. Springer International Publishing, 2018: 467-475.
[16]Kwon J, Shin J, Im CH. Toward a compact hybrid brain-computer interface (BCI): Performance evaluation of multi-class hybrid EEG-fNIRS BCIs with limited number of channels. PLoS One. 2020; 15(3):e0230491.
[17]Amiri S, Fazel-Rezai R, Asadpour V. A review of hybrid brain-computer interface systems[J]. Advances in Human-Computer Interaction, 2013, 2013: 1-1.
[18]Nguyen CH, Karavas GK, Artemiadis P. Adaptive multi-degree of freedom Brain Computer Interface using online feedback: Towards novel methods and metrics of mutual adaptation between humans and machines for BCI. PLoS One. 2019;14(3):e0212620.