

Gender Differences in Cultural Context: A Systematic Review

Suhan Guo^{1,*}

¹Kede College of Capital Normal University, Beijing, China

*Corresponding author:
Facethemusic@email.phoenix.edu

Abstract:

Gender studies' core competencies include comprehending gender dynamics and how they might promote gender equity. The foundational gender norms that shape individual behaviors and societal expectations are critically examined and evaluated in the field of gender studies. These norms significantly influence on various aspects of our lives, such as the formulation of policies, the structure of educational systems, and the direction of activist movements. The field aims to uncover how these underlying principles perpetuate certain stereotypes and inequalities and subsequently inform and drive decision-making processes in both personal and societal contexts by scrutinizing them. This approach strengthens partnerships and provides long-term satisfaction. Effective communication requires respect for cultural differences and learning from each other's strengths. Combining empathy with problem-solving skills fosters mutual respect, collaboration, and innovation, benefiting both individual interactions and group success. Gender and culture influence social behavior and relationship formation, with female culture emphasizing emotional support and male culture focusing on competition. Cultural contexts and gender roles vary across societies, affecting expectations. Future research could explore how globalization and changing cultures impact social interactions.

Keywords: Gender differences; culture; social norms; gender stereotype.

1. Introduction

Understanding gender dynamics and ways in which it can support gender equity are key features of the field of gender studies [1-3]. The field of gender studies critically examines and evaluates the foundational gender norms that underpin and shape individual

behaviors and societal expectations. These norms play a crucial role in influencing various aspects of our lives, including the formulation of policies, the structure of educational systems, and the direction of activist movements. By scrutinizing these underlying principles, the field aims to uncover how they perpetuate certain stereotypes and inequalities and

subsequently inform and drive decision-making processes in both personal and societal contexts [4, 5]. This critical analysis is essential for understanding the complex interplay between gender, power, and social dynamics and for fostering more inclusive and equitable practices in policy-making, education, and activism. It is something that studies how norms in society and different cultural things influence how behaviors of genders, the roles they play, and the kind of relationships are formed [6]. This paper aims to look into how the cultures of women and men are separate, focusing on how, in various societies, they tend to differ. Women, generally, are often seen in social cultures more focused on relationships and closeness, while men's culture is sometimes more about teamwork and brotherhood. Supportive literature, philosophical ideas, and psychoanalysis will be included to explain this better.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Definitions of Gender and Culture

Gender refers to the roles and behaviors of men and women, as well as the things that society has said they should do [7]. It is constructed socially and varies depending on the period of time or what kind of culture is being looked at. Culture is the collection of social behaviors, norms, knowledge, beliefs, arts, laws, customs, abilities, and habits that people in groups have [8]. The system itself is complicated, and it is both influenced by gender and influences gender roles as well.

Feminine or male culture refers to the patterns of communication, behavior, and thinking among women or man, or the common characteristics of most of these communities. Gender and culture do not stay the same (gender and culture are not static, and many changes exist over time and as society evolves and gender awareness awakens). The interaction between them is fluid. What cultural norms define as gender roles often affects practices in that same culture. For instance, in certain places, women being the main caretakers impacts how they relate to society, and changes who they know and who they interact with.

2.2 Female Social Culture

2.2.1 Characteristics of female social culture

Strong Relationships. Close relationships among women tend to be characterized by a higher level of emotional support. These bonds are often strengthened through frequent communication, where emotions are not only shared but also deeply understood and empathized with by the other party. This mutual exchange of feelings and the consistent presence of a supportive listener create a strong

foundation for a lasting and meaningful connection [9, 10]. The women involved in such relationships frequently find themselves in a safe space where they can express their vulnerabilities without fear of judgment, thereby fostering an environment of trust and mutual understanding [11]. This dynamic not only enhances the emotional well-being of the individuals but also contributes to a sense of belonging and community among them. The regular sharing of personal experiences, joys, and challenges further cements these bonds, making the relationships both resilient and deeply fulfilling.

Building Relationships Easily. Women are usually more able to make new relationships and maintain more extensive social networks, forming connections and keeping them. Some studies have found that women will more often do things like participate in social networking activities, and they also have a tendency to maintain wider social circles than men. For example, one research by Taylor et al. seems to say that women are more likely to seek social support, and they engage in behaviors that are nurturing [12]. In social networks, it is common to observe that women frequently emerge as central figures, playing pivotal roles within these digital communities. They often take on the responsibility of acting as connectors, bridging gaps between different groups and facilitating seamless communication among various individuals. This phenomenon highlights the significant impact that women can have in fostering connections and maintaining the flow of information within social networks [13]. Their ability to navigate through diverse social circles and establish meaningful interactions contributes to the overall cohesion and vibrancy of these online platforms.

2.2.2 Philosophical and psychoanalytic perspectives

From a viewpoint of philosophy, the ethics concerning care, a concept Carol Gilligan brought, points to relationships and care being important when looking at how women's moral development goes [14-16]. This idea connects to the notion it is often noticed women give importance to interdependent relations.

From a psychoanalytical perspective, one prominent theory that sheds light on gender differences in socialization is Nancy Chodorow's object-relations theory [16]. According to Chodorow, during the process of socialization, females tend to place a greater emphasis on establishing and maintaining relational connections. This inclination, according to the theory, stems from the typical early bonding experiences that girls have with their primary caregivers, who are most often their mothers [17]. The intimate and close relationship that is established in the early years of life lays the groundwork for a propensity to form subsequent relationships that are characterized by a greater

degree of closeness and emotional depth. This theory suggests that the socialization process for girls is inherently intertwined with the development of their relational capacities, which are honed through consistent and nurturing interactions with their mothers [18]. Consequently, this early relational emphasis can influence how women navigate their social worlds, often seeking and valuing deep emotional connections in their personal and professional relationships.

2.3 Male Social Culture

2.2.1 Characteristics of male social culture

In the vast majority of situations, men tend to forge bonds with one another that are rooted in a mutual engagement in similar activities or a shared enthusiasm for particular hobbies, such as sports, or even in the context of their professional endeavors [19]. These types of relationships, while they might not typically exhibit a high degree of emotional expressiveness, are nevertheless founded on a foundation of mutual support and a sense of loyalty. However, it is worth noting that in these scenarios, the overt display of emotions is generally less prevalent.

Male social interactions tend to involve elements of working together as teams and also there are competitive aspects included. These aspects of teamwork and also competition can strengthen cohesion within groups and improve camaraderie. However, the idea of competition is also part of group interaction. The research points out that men, more often than women, have relationships that are mostly about shared activities instead of emotional things. A certain study by Benenson et al. showed how men tend to make friendships in an activity-focused way that's less intimate compared to women's more emotionally involved connections [20]. In the workplace or even in sports environments, men are often seen competing with each other, reinforcing group identity in that way and simultaneously showing a sense of solidarity inside the group.

2.2.2 Philosophical and psychoanalytic perspectives

In terms of philosophy, Aristotle talked about something like *philia*, called brotherly love. This idea could relate to how male social circles behave. *Philia* seems to be about respect and specific values they share; this often happens through activities they do together and common goals they set [21, 22]. From a psychoanalytic angle, Freud spoke of a group psychology idea, which might suggest how men form social bonds by identifying with a leader or a shared ideal [23, 24]. This could show up in things like sports teams or professional settings where they have similar interests.

2.4 Gender Differences in Different Cultures

In different cultures, gender roles have meanings and expectations, which leads to how interaction happens between men and women in social settings is very much influenced by these factors. There are some case studies; for example, there is the Hijra community, which fits into a category of gender that is not entirely male or female in India. Social practices and norms do not align directly with those seen in wider Indian society. The customs of this group are very distinct and separate from what is more mainstream. Moreover, the Bugis community of Indonesia knows five gender categories. These categories come with distinct and specific roles, and so do cultural expectations. The different recognition of genders has an impact on how people interact socially within their groups and how they form relationships, too.

3. Realistic Guiding Significance

In today's intertwined world, little needs to be said about how very important mutual respect and understanding are between the male and female cultures. Both genders possess their own strengths, and learning from one another is bound to help both cultures move toward a more harmonious and effective mode of communication. This essay looks at respect and understanding of each other's culture, the privileged advantage of learning from each other's strong points, and how combining female empathy with male problem-solving skills can help improve communication.

3.1 Respect and Understanding Between Genders

Respect and understanding are the main building blocks for success in any relationship. These could either be personal or professional. When we say cultures of male and female, we refer to the typical differences that men and women show in ways of communication, problem-solving, and interaction with the world. These are linked to biological structure, social upbringing, and cultural background.

Traditionally, most cultures have raised men and women to expect different things from them. For instance, men are socialized to be assertive and solution-oriented, whereas women are socialized to be nurturing and empathetic. These cultural expectations have created different ways of communicating that might lead to misunderstandings. It is, however, in appreciating and learning from these differences that we bridge the gap in communication and build an inclusive environment.

Furthermore, they can learn from the strengths of one

another, and that will be one of the best ways to create mutual respect and understanding. Both men and women have certain qualities which complement each other. For example, in most cases, women are good at empathy and emotional intelligence, which is necessary for developing good interpersonal relationships. On the contrary, men are generally good at analytical thinking and solving problems, which has been a must to handle complicated issues. Such strengths are to be recognized and valued to strike a better balance in our communicative and problem-solving styles. For instance, in any team environment, the empathetic approach of women merged with men's analytical acumen produces much more comprehensive and innovative solutions. Such synergy not only allows for better team performance but also encourages mutual respect and cooperation.

3.2 Female Empathy, Male Problem-Solving-The Best Mode of Communication

The idea that "female empathy and male problem-solving skills are the best mode of communication" really does fit in with the idea of playing to the strengths of both genders. Empathy is the feeling or understanding of the feelings of another person; this nurtures a person's soul and is very helpful in creating an enabling and accommodating atmosphere. This shall be very beneficial in communication, which builds a trusting relationship.

By contrast, problem-solving abilities help an individual find and apply effective resolutions to problems. Men, who are socialized to focus on solutions often, can thus offer pragmatism in finding solutions. This approach, when combined with empathy, helps ensure that solutions are effective and sensitive to the emotional and relational dynamics involved.

3.3 Practical Implications

In application, the integration of female empathy and male problem-solving skills plays a great deal in daily life. For example, it is easy to elicit willingness on the part of team members during work if a leader has the qualities of both emotional empathy and problem-solving capability. A leader can handle conflicts with empathy and, at the same time, give directions on how to solve them.

This combination will allow personal interactions to be fulfilling and more significant. Showing empathy allows the partner to be more aware of the needs of one another, while problem-solving allows them to work their way out and find mutually profitable solutions. The approach used will strengthen the bond of partners and provide satisfaction for a long period of time.

In all respects, effective communication comes with re-

spect and an understanding of the cultural differences between men and women-learning from each other's strengths. We will create a more balanced mode of communication when we combine the female traits of empathy with male problem-solving skills. This facilitates mutual respect, collaboration, and innovation. The dividends of such changes do not come only in the interaction between individuals themselves but also in the overall success and harmony that come forth in any group or organization.

4. Conclusion

Gender and culture are closely connected, and they shape how people act socially and how they form their relationships. Female culture is usually more about emotional support and relationships, while male focuses on activities and competition between people. Cultural contexts have an effect, too, in that gender roles differ in various societies, which brings more differences in expectations. The philosophical perspectives or psychoanalytic views help to see this from another angle, giving insights into how ethics or relationships and psychology affect gender interactions. Future research might look into how globalization affects gender roles and how changing cultures impact the way people interact socially in various places.

References

- [1] Son Hing, L. S., Sakr, N., Sorenson, J. B., Stamarski, C. S., Caniera, K., & Colaco, C. Gender inequities in the workplace: A holistic review of organizational processes and practices. *Human Resource Management Review*, 2023, 33(3): 1–25.
- [2] Fielding-Miller, R., Hatcher, A. M., Wagman, J., Swendeman, D., & Upadhyay, U. D. Gender, justice and empowerment: creating the world we want to see. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 2020, 22(sup1): 1–12.
- [3] Brady, B., Rosenberg, S., Newman, C.E. et al. Gender is dynamic for all people. *Discov Psychol*, 2022, 41.
- [4] Stewart, R., Wright, B., Smith, L., Roberts, S., & Russell, N. Gendered stereotypes and norms: A systematic review of interventions designed to shift attitudes and behaviour. *Heliyon*, 2021, 7(4): e06660.
- [5] Florian Arendt, Media stereotypes, prejudice, and preference-based reinforcement: toward the dynamic of self-reinforcing effects by integrating audience selectivity, *Journal of Communication*, Volume, 2023, 73(5): 463–475.
- [6] Cislighi, B., & Heise, L. Gender norms and social norms: differences, similarities and why they matter in prevention science. *Sociology of health & illness*, 2020, 42(2): 407–422.
- [7] Lindqvist, A., Sendén, M. G., & Renström, E. A. What is gender, anyway: a review of the options for operationalising gender. *Psychology & Sexuality*, 2020, 12(4): 332–344.

- [8] Lantz-Deaton, C., Golubeva, What Is Culture?. In: Intercultural Competence for College and University Students. Springer, Cham. 2020.
- [9] Burleson, B. R. (2003). The experience and effects of emotional support: What the study of cultural and gender differences can tell us about close relationships, emotion and interpersonal communication. *Personal Relationships*, 2003, 10(1): 1–23.
- [10] Chen, W. L., & Liao, W. T. Emotion Regulation in Close Relationships: The Role of Individual Differences and Situational Context. *Frontiers in psychology*, 2021, 12: 697901.
- [11] Ruth Lewis, Elizabeth Sharp, Jennifer Remnant, Rhiannon Redpath. ‘Safe spaces’: experiences of feminist women-only space. 2015, 20(4): 105-118.
- [12] Taylor, S. E., Klein, L. C., Lewis, B. P., Gruenewald, T. L., Gurung, R. A. R., & Updegraff, J. A. Biobehavioral responses to stress in females: Tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. *Psychological Review*, 2000, 107(3): 411–429.
- [13] Brands, R. A., & Rattan, A. Perceived centrality in social networks increases women’s expectations of confronting sexism. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 2020, 46(12): 1682–1701.
- [14] Li, L. Gilligan’s Theory of Moral Development. In: The ECPH Encyclopedia of Psychology. Springer, Singapore. 2024
- [15] Skoe, E. E. A. Measuring care-based moral development: The Ethic of Care Interview. *Behavioral Development Bulletin*, 2014, 19(3): 95–104.
- [16] Schuchter, P., & Heller, A. The Care Dialog: the “ethics of care” approach and its importance for clinical ethics consultation. *Medicine, health care, and philosophy*, 2018, 21(1): 51–62.
- [17] Chodorow, N, *The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender*. Berkeley: University of California Press. 1978.
- [18] Sprengnether, M. *The Impact of Nancy Chodorow’s The Reproduction of Mothering and Its Implications for the Future*. In: Bueskens, P. (eds) *Nancy Chodorow and The Reproduction of Mothering*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. 2021
- [19] Hearn, J., de Boise, S., Goedecke, K. *Men and Masculinities: Structures, Practices, and Identities*. In: Zurbruggen, E.L., Capdevila, R. (eds) *The Palgrave Handbook of Power, Gender, and Psychology* Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. 2023
- [20] Benenson, J. F., et al. Sex differences in children’s formation of exclusionary alliances under scarce resource conditions. *Animal Behaviour*, 1997, 53(5): 1047–1055.
- [21] Woltmann, S. *Third Gender Politics: Hijra Identity Construction in India and Beyond*. *South Asian Review*, 2019, 41(1): 3–15.
- [22] Mal, S. *The hijras of India: A marginal community with paradox sexual identity*. *Indian Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 2018, 34: 79–85.
- [23] Freud, S. *Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego*. International Psycho-Analytical Press. 1921
- [24] Weiss, H. *A brief history of the super-ego with an introduction to three papers*. *The International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 2020, 101(4): 724–734.