

# The Impact of the Availability Heuristic on Decision-Making and Risk Perception

**Zhengyang Xu**

## **Abstract:**

The present essay will consider the availability heuristic, a cognitive bias whereby individuals' judgment regarding the likelihood of certain events is altered by the ease with which they are able to formulate examples. Historical developments from early psychological studies through its formalization by Kahneman and Tversky will underline its main features, underlie its operations, and discuss its influence on decision-making, risk perception, and errors in judgment. Case studies tend to reveal the heuristic's insistent influence in everyday life, notably in crime perception, estimation of health risk, and investing in the financial markets.

**Keywords:** heuristic, psychological studies, human judgment, psychological theories

## **Introduction:**

The availability heuristic is a significant cognitive bias that affects human judgment and its decision-making processes. Traced back to early psychological theories, it has been studied and formally defined more extensively in the works of Kahneman and Tversky from the 1970s. Employing this heuristic, one argues that most people evaluate the frequency or probability of an event, drawing from immediate examples that come readily to mind. As such, it can induce discernible biases in judgment: for example, risk perception, decision-making, and general cognitive evaluations. In this paper, I will discuss the history, definitions, and characteristics of the availability heuristic, give insight into its functioning, and list three major influences in cognitive functioning that arise from it.

The availability heuristic can be traced back to early psychological programs that are focused on the decision-making processes of human beings. Bounded

rationality was explained by researchers such as Herbert Simon during the 1950s and 1960s, who while considering human decision-making limited it to cognitive constraints. (Simon, 1957). This notion was indicative of the fact that rather than evaluating each and every possible outcome, human beings quite often depended on cognitive shortcuts, or heuristics, in dealing with complex decisions.

Kahneman and Tversky's pivotal work in the 1970s formally defined the availability heuristic, providing empirical evidence that individuals often judge the frequency of events based on how easily examples come to mind (Kahneman & Tversky, 1973). This influential paper showed that people could perceive reality differently because of vivid yet easily available examples. An example would be that, due to the immense airtime given to an airline disaster, many would consider flying to be more dangerous than it actually is by virtue of its rarity compared with ever-increasing flights.

The implications of her study within the 1980s and

1990s reflected its effects on the societal perception and decision-making in various domains. (Pew Research Center, 2018). With the heuristic at that point also becoming evident for public policy, risk assessment, and media effects, it illustrated the shapes cognitive biases would take in forming collective attitudes toward risk.

Starting in the 2000s, the availability heuristic has been well described in psychological literature and behavioral economics, and remains an object of research concentrating on cognitive biases in many domains, such as marketing, health, and finance (Kahneman, 2011). Gaining an understanding of the availability heuristic is central for good policy design to deal with its effects and produce better choices—almost all of the time, if not always—for individual and regional cases at large.

Main Body:

## 1. Definition of the Availability Heuristic

The availability heuristic can be defined as a mental shortcut that relies on immediate examples that come to a person's mind when evaluating a specific topic, concept, method, or decision. In essence, if something readily comes to mind, individuals tend to think it is more common or more likely to occur than it actually is. (Kahneman, 2011) This cognitive bias often results in systematic errors in judgment, as people rely on vivid memories rather than objective data.

For instance, after a car accident that gets high media coverage, a certain individual becomes overly afraid of driving, believing it a lot more dangerous than the statistics suggest. Media coverage always provides a more definite picture of an event, such as by making people more emotionally involved than they would be by reports on such events. This availability heuristic skews one's perspective of reality to the point wherein it becomes a basis of irrational fears and decisions. (Slovic & Peters, 2006).

Factors influencing the availability heuristic include media exposure and personal experiences. Events that receive substantial media attention become more salient in public consciousness, leading to increased perceptions of their frequency. A study by the Pew Research Center found that many Americans believe crime rates are rising, despite evidence showing a decline in violent crime (Pew Research Center, 2017). Knowledge of this fact should assist a person in identifying their cognitive biases so as to be able to gain further insight into the decisions made.

## 2. Features of the Availability Heuristic

The availability heuristic is thereby dictated by a number

of characteristics which deeply influence the risk perception and decision-making process brandished by individuals.

Salience is one primary feature. The more frequently publicized an event is, the more likely it is to come to mind, frequently leading an individual to convey estimates of their probabilities. Along these lines, the visibility of violent crime stories through the media may create a wrongly veiled psychological bias in favor of viewing the trend being in an upward incline. In studying the effects of sensationalistic crime news, researchers showed that individuals often misestimate their chances of being victims of crime. (Doleac & Sanders, 2015).

Recency or proximity is the other important aspect; recent events are remembered more easily and given more consideration in the final decision-making. After natural catastrophes, for example, people can assume an exaggerated fear of far-off possibilities. Surveys conducted post-hurricanes show that rate of flooding concerns has become high in populations where flooding is statistically improbable. (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2020). The emotional aftermath of a nearest event influences how people perceive risks.

The emotional impact also stands out as equally relevant in shaping the availability heuristic. Nontrivial problems, like tragedies or disasters, are normally those that elicit strong emotional responses and, therefore, stick more readily in memory. Research has shown that people tend to overweight the risk of events that have an emotional impact, like terrorism or violent crime. Such experiences are usually very vivid, and their impressions on judgment can last long after the actual events have passed: a case in point is the way the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks saw individuals fearing flying, notwithstanding its overall safety. (U.S. Department of Transportation, 2021).

By knowing these characteristics—salience, recency, and emotional impact—an individual develops awareness of the possible biases that may be put upon their perception. Through such awareness, sounder judgments can be made, whereupon the mind starts to question first instincts and seek factual information into assessing the risks.

## 3. Mechanism of Operation

The availability heuristic works through specific cognitive mechanisms that shape how individuals recall information and make judgments. One major underlying mechanism is the ease of recall; if something can be retrieved from memory easily, people are more likely to judge it as common or probable. By trading accuracy for ease of calculation, this cognitive shortcut can lead to grievous miscalculations, especially in high-stakes situations where valid

risk appraisal is crucial.

Examples abound on health crises from the COVID-19 pandemic wherein this heuristic has resulted in overestimation of the likelihood of getting the virus based on vivid reports of cases made available by the media. Further research suggests that people have become overly cautious when bombarded with information over the spread of the virus, leading to excess anxiety and the decision to refrain from public places. This example makes clear how the ease of recall creates disproportionate perceptions of risk that can, in turn, swathe the public health response.

Recent and vivid events provide stronger anchors: fresh memories of a shocking news clip about a crime wave can make anyone fancy themselves to be an immediate target, which is frightening in reality and utterly contrary to actual crime rates. The selective reliance on picture-perfect information can trigger unreasonable fears and attitudes imputed onto decision-making. (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

Moreover, fundamentally, the availability heuristic may cause bias confirmation when individuals collect information tabulated to justify their own preconceived notions. For instance, if an individual thinks crime rates are on the rise, he/she may be more focused on sensationalistic news reports, in which violence is on the front burner, while informational indications yield falls in crime rates (Nickerson, 1998). This selective attention, in turn, confirms their beliefs and creates a cycle of misjudgment.

An added insight into the operation of this availability heuristic enables individuals to counter their cognitive biases and act with rationality in decision-making. They can also challenge their assumptions by looking at different forms and sources of information, thereby breaking free from the limits imposed by the heuristics on perception and judgments.

## 4. Applications of the Availability Heuristic

### A. Risk Perception in Crime Rate

The availability heuristic substantially shapes the perception of crime rates by various people; much of such alterations depend on the past coverage by different media outlets and subjective experiences. Wide coverage by the media of violence-related crime can lead one to subliminally exaggerate the sense of crime prevalence, often causing behavioral changes intended to enhance one's personal security (Doleac & Sanders, 2015). For example, after highly publicized high-profile cases of violent crime receive considerable attention in the news, many will feel the need to invest in home security systems and modify

behavior patterns by taking care to stay away from certain unsafe neighborhoods.

This behavior change may have wider economic implications. The security industry is one of the fastest-growing with predictions suggesting a total near the \$300 billion mark by 2025 (Grand View Research, 2020). In other words, the increasing consciousness of safety in citizens keeps spending for security measures, alarms, surveillance cameras, and home security services going up very quickly. Availability heuristic manifests its presence by direct influence on consumer behavior and market demand.

The fear arising from the perception of crime rates can give rise to avoidance behavior where individuals may choose to limit their activities in areas perceived as high crime. Such avoidance may create problems for local economies as businesses may face great difficulty seeking customers into neighborhoods branded as unsafe. Research has shown that neighborhoods identified as high-crime areas often experience decreases in property values, with estimates stating reductions of up to 15% against comparable neighborhoods (Schneider & Ramm, 2017). Once again the economic effects of this may lead to self-reinforcing cycles of decline where lower property values lead to less investment and a prolonged economic malaise.

To summarize, the availability heuristic significantly affects perceived risk regarding criminal activities, causative of changes in behavior that impact individual choices and broader economic outcomes. Understanding this dynamic can help policymakers formulate strategies to address public fears and make communities safer.

### B. Health Risks and Preventive Behavior

The availability heuristic operates profoundly in health decisions. Moreover, it is critical in ascertainment of risks in diseases, as well as preventive behaviors of individuals. During such decisive times of public health crises like the H1N1 pandemic or COVID-19, chickens come home to roost following heightened media attention or risk-backing toward the pandemic, in the case of H1N1 and approach onboarding and COVID-19 vaccination rates by the individuals (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021). For example, during the H1N1 outbreak, vaccination rates surged at over 30% in the United States. This increase was largely responsible for full media coverage and the fear of the virus.

Such focal shifts can lead to rather unfortunate cases of routines, for example, in maintenance of health for chronic diseases since much energy is directed toward virus matters. For example, many individuals did not just pause; they completely canceled their routine checkups or screenings during the COVID-19 lockdowns (American Cancer Society, 2021). This change of behavior indeed

matters when considering its long-time cost on health and health systems, where undiagnosed cases keep exacerbating.

The economic effects that these behavioral changes carry with are also very important. It's not infrequent during public health crises for healthcare expenditures to greatly increase, with the costs for prevention also receiving an important surge. And yet, this increase in expenditure would not be sustainable over time as chronic conditions remain underfunded and neglected. About 75% of healthcare costs come from chronic diseases, suggesting that a proper balance in funding proposals must be passed (World Health Organization, 2020).

In brief, the availability heuristic drives health-related decision-making towards additional preventive measures during health crises while neglecting chronic conditions. Thus, the recognition of these factors is very important for public health to ameliorate its actions and to encourage individuals towards a comprehensive health management plan.

#### C. Investment Decisions in Financial Markets

The availability heuristic is an important one in the decision-making of the market actors, driven more or less on the basis of information on their disposal, further propelling irrational market behavior. Investors tend to make investment decisions based on more recent information or vivid stories. This can produce herding behavior, whereby individuals follow actions taken by a larger group (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). This phenomenon often leads to irrational market movements and increased volatility.

Research suggests a heightened herding behavior by investors, usually during market downturn periods, causing aggressive sell-offs. That is, during the 2008 financial crisis, the S&P 500 index dropped almost 57% from the peak, which was influenced massively by panic among investors and a herd mentality (Pew Research Center, 2018). This portrays how availability heuristics can prevent collective decision-making from aligning itself to the underlying economic fundamentals. The emotional decision-making aspect is also key to this phenomenon.

Emotional decision-making is a crucial aspect of this dynamic. Fear in case of downsides, excess optimism in case of recoveries, can lead to extreme oscillations of market prices. It has been established that migratory emotional responses to news events drive trading behavior, with investors more likely to act on perceptions of either fear or greed as opposed to taking a cold rational analysis. (Kahneman, 2011). For instance, major economic announcements increase the level of volatility since investors react based on emotions rather than logic. Such behavior can have considerable anti-economic outcomes.

Market volatility caused by herding behavior results in higher transaction costs and lowered market efficiencies. Research estimates that a slight increase in the volatility of financial markets has potentially caused an annual loss of \$1.5 trillion in economic output, due to lessened investment confidence (Pew Research Center, 2018). Understanding the availability heuristic and their effects on investor behavior is critical to enhance market stability through informed decision-making.

Similarly, the availability heuristic makes investors overconfident when they think that the most recent business successes will go on, ignoring the basic analysis. This overconfidence causes investors to be very much interested in making bets since they are enamored by the prospects of high returns derived from recent market success. Such a cycle of optimism brings life to markets, creating bubbles in which asset prices surge to unsustainable high levels and eventually come crashing to earth when reality sets in.

In a nutshell, the availability heuristic is one of the great influences on investment decisions, contributes to herd behavior and emotional decision-making, and contributes to market volatility. The awareness of this heuristic's effects is critical for investors and policymakers for guiding investors toward rational and stabilizing markets.

## Conclusion

The availability heuristic has a huge impact on the behavior of individuals and, therefore, on economic outcomes because it will compel individuals to use the most ready available information for their risk perceptions. This influences the perception of crime, which, in turn, triggers protective actions within communities against crime and the avoidance of certain areas, thus affecting local economies of settlements. Concerning healthcare, it results in proactive measures taken in times of health emergencies, leaving chronic conditions unattended, thus affecting long-term costs. In the financial markets, it leads to the herding behaviour of market participants and creates volatility: buying or selling stocks just based on some recent news, usually emotionally anchored news. Understanding this heuristic is thus vital for deliberative judgment, so as to counter its adverse effects—a fact that will ultimately increase the chances of better outcomes across sectors.

## References

- Simon, H. A. (1957). *Models of Bounded Rationality*. MIT Press.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1973). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. *Cognitive*

Psychology, 5(2), 207-232.

Pew Research Center. (2018). Public Perceptions of Crime and Crime Policy.

Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Slovic, P., & Peters, E. (2006). Risk perception and affect. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(6), 322-325.

Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2021). Crime in the United States.

Pew Research Center. (2017). Crime Trends: What the Public Knows.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2020). Hurricane Preparedness Survey.

U.S. Department of Transportation. (2021). Aviation Safety.

World Health Organization. (2020). COVID-19 Dashboard.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An

analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291.

Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175-220.

Doleac, J. L., & Sanders, N. J. (2015). Underestimating the Effect of Crime on Property Values: Evidence from the Housing Market. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(3), 97-125.

Grand View Research. (2020). Home Security Systems Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report.

Schneider, S. L., & Ramm, J. (2017). Public perceptions of crime and social order: A study of the role of media. *Journal of Media Psychology*, 29(3), 145-157.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). Flu Vaccination Coverage.

American Cancer Society. (2021). Cancer Facts & Figures.