Dean&Francis
ISSN 2959-6130

Bitcoin's Evolution: Institutional Adoption, Volatility Dynamics, and Market Narratives

QianMo Song

Sunshine Middle School of Xi'an Jiaotong Univercity, Xi'an, ShaanXi, China, 710043
17792590155@163.com

Abstract:

This study examines the evolving characteristics of the Bitcoin market amid increasing institutional capital inflows. Employing an integrated analytical framework, it combines public market data, advanced financial econometric models, a significant institutional case study, and peer-reviewed academic research. Key findings indicate that while Bitcoin exhibits substantial price appreciation potential, its volatility can be effectively characterized and forecast using Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) models, revealing strong persistence. The allocation decision by Stanford University's Blyth Fund serves as a signalling event, denoting a qualitative shift in Bitcoin's perception as a legitimate alternative asset class. Furthermore, empirical academic research on the volume-volatility nexus provides theoretical substantiation for the narrative that institutional participation alters market microstructure. The paper concludes that Bitcoin is undergoing a critical transformation from a speculative digital asset towards a novel store of value within institutional portfolios, with its persistent volatility acting as both a risk metric and a lens to understand evolving market dynamics.

Keywords: Bitcoin, GARCH Model,Stanford Blyth Fund, Volume-Volatility Nexus

1. Introduction

Since its launch in 2009, Bitcoin has evolved from a niche technological experiment into a significant, albeit controversial, global financial asset. Its early history was predominantly characterized by extreme price volatility and strong speculative retail interest. However, the recent maturation of the ecosystem, particularly the regulatory approval of spot Bitcoin Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs) in key jurisdictions, has catalyzed increased engagement from traditional financial institutions and long-term capital. This "institutionalization" phase is widely considered a pivotal inflection point towards market maturity, yet it raises critical questions: Has the influx of institutional capital altered the fundamental properties of the Bitcoin market? How can its risk-return profile be quantified and understood in this new context? To what extend do prevailing market narratives align with empirical evidence?

Existing literature has extensively explored Bitcoin's volatility dynamics [1], its correlation with traditional

assets [2], and its potential hedging properties. Nevertheless, few studies have concurrently analyzed micro-level institutional behaviour, macro-level volatility modelling, and meso-level academic validation of market narratives within a unified framework. This research aims to address this gap by adopting an integrative perspective to examine Bitcoin's new market dynamicsamid deepening institutional adoption.

The central research question guiding this study is: What new, quantifiable, and theoretically-supported characteristics define the Bitcoin market as institutional adoption progresses? To address this, the study pursues four specific objectives: (1) to delineate Bitcoin's recent price trajectory and cyclical volatility patterns; (2) to quantify its volatility using GARCH-family models and interpret the time-series properties; (3) to analyse a signalling case of institutional adoption—the asset allocation decision by Stanford University's student-managed Blyth Fund; and (4) todraw on recent academic findings to assess the validity of core market narratives, such as the role of trading volume in driving volatility.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews price performance; Section 3 presents a quantitative volatility analysis using GARCH models; Section 4 delves into the institutional case study and its implications; Section 5 introduces supporting academic research; Section 6 provides a synthesizing discussion; and Section 7 concludes with implications and future research directions.

2. Price Performance and Volatility: A Stylized Overview

Bitcoin's price history is a chronicle of exponential growth punctuated bysignificant drawdowns. Aggregated public data indicates a cumulative appreciation exceeding 1158% between early 2020 and early 2025 [3]. This upward trend, however, has been far from linear. Using the U.S. Thanksgiving holiday as a consistent temporalbenchmark reveals its volatile path: in late November 2022, the price hovered around $16,353, with market sentiment dampened by events such as the collapse of the FTX exchange; by Thanksgiving 2024, the price had surged to approximately $95,531, reflecting optimism fueled by ETF approvals and the prevailing "halving cycle" narrative; one year later, in 2025, a modest retracement to around $91,711 was observed, indicating a consolidation phase following all-time highs [4].

This pattern of "two steps forward, one step back" underscores a defining feature of Bitcoin as an emergent asset: high volatility is intrinsically coupled with its growth potential. This volatility is not mere noise but a reflection of aggregated market information, shifting investor sentiment, and evolving liquidity conditions. Consequently, rigorous quantitative modelling of this volatility is foundational to understanding the asset's inherent market dynamics.

3. Quantitative Volatility Analysis Using GARCH Models

To move beyond descriptive analysis,this study employs Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) models, a standard econometric tool for capturing the volatility clustering prevalent in financial time series [5].

This study draws on authoritative estimates from the NYU Stern Volatility Laboratory (V-Lab), which applies GARCH(1,1) model to Bitcoin's daily returns. As of April 25, 2025, the model output, reported a long-run conditional volatility forecast of approximately 41.10%. The estimated parameters—an ARCH term (α) of 0.1814 and a GARCH term (β) of 0.7514—carry significant economic interpretation [6].

The α coefficient measures the impact of recent news shocks on subsequent volatility. The β coefficient represents volatility persistence, which means the degree to which current volatility is influenced by its own past. The sum ($\alpha + \beta = 0.9328$) is close to unity, indicating a high degree of volatility persistence or "long memory." This implies that periods of high volatility, triggered by a significant market shock, tend to be followed by extended periods of elevated volatility, while calm markets also exhibit persistence. This finding offers a crucial risk management insight: Bitcoin's volatility does notrevert to its mean rapidly, suggesting that elevated risk environments, once established, can endure.

4. The Institutional Signal: A Case Study of Stanford University

Market narratives require concrete anchors. In 2024, an investment decision by the student-managed Blyth Fund, part of Stanford University's endowment ecosystem, emerged as a particularly instructive case. The fund allocated approximately 7% of its portfolio to Bitcoin [7].

The rationale presented by the student proposer, a leader of the Stanford Blockchain Club,had three core components: first, the post-ETF approval environment established a conduit for sustained traditional capital inflows; second, Bitcoin's historical halving cycles were linked to subsequent price appreciation narratives based on increasing scarcity; third, against a backdrop of heightened geopolitical uncertainty, Bitcoin was perceived as a potential hedge independent of traditional financial systems.

The symbolic significance of this action exceeds its immediate financial scale. As a preeminent global hub for aca-

ISSN 2959-6130

demia and innovation,any investment vehicle associated with Stanford—even one managed by students—reflects rigorous assessment and implicit recognition of Bitcoin's asset attributes by financial experts. It signals a shift in the narrative from debating Bitcoin's intrinsic value to practically considering its valuation and portfolio role. Such endorsement by an elite institution exerts a strong signalling effect, potentially influencing the perceptions and subsequent actions of other endowments, family offices, and more conservative institutions, thereby accelerating mainstream assimilation.

5. Academic Validation of Market Narratives: The Volume-Volatility Link

A core narrative of institutional adoption posits that the substantial,sustained trading activity it introduces will fundamentally reshape market microstructure. This market insight is strongly supported by recent academic work. A research by Patra & Gupta [8], published in the European Journal of Finance, specifically investigates the complex relationship between trading volume and volatility in cryptocurrency markets. Their empirical analysis clearly identifies trading volume as a statistically significant predictor of Bitcoin's volatility when controlling for other factors. More importantly, from a methodological standpoint, the study demonstrates that forecasting accuracy improves substantially when models incorporate structural breaks, used to capture permanent shifts in market regimes due to events like major regulatory changes or exchange failures, and employ fat-tailed distributions (e.g., Student's t-distribution) to more effectively model extreme returns.

This research serves as a critical theoretical link forthe integrated analysis. It offers academic confirmation of a robust causal relationship between trading activity—a primary manifestation of institutional entry—and market volatility. This implies that sustained institutional capital inflows may not merely elevate prices but could systematically reshape the asset's volatility characteristics by altering trading volume scales, investor composition, and order book depth. It complicates the simplistic expectation that "institutionalization lowers volatility",suggesting instead a new phase where the drivers of volatility may be undergoing structural shifts.

6. Synthesis and Discussion

Synthesizing the evidence from these four dimensions yields a more coherent picture:

1. The Duality of Price and Risk: Bitcoin demonstrates remarkable capital appreciation potential, yet this process remains inextricably linked to high volatility. This volatility is not mere "noise" but a "signal" containing information about market sentiment and risk structure.

2. The Modelability of Risk: The application of GARCH models confirms that Bitcoin's volatility, while elevated, is not inscrutable. Its persistent nature allows risk management to be grounded in quantitative forecasting, providing necessary technical tools for institutional investors.

3. The Spectrum of Adoption: Institutional adoption exists on a continuum. The shift from speculative holdings by hedge funds, to corporate treasury allocations, and finally to tentative entries by long-term, prudent capital (such as university endowments) represents adeepening of acceptance. The latter carries particular symbolic significance in shaping professional perception.

4. Convergence of Narrative and Evidence: The market narrative that "institutional money is supported by empirical evidence from academic research on the volume-volatility nexus. This convergence suggests that practitioner insights and academic research are aligning, jointly indicating a transformation of market's underlying structure.

This study has several limitations that should be noted. First, the analysed time horizon remains relatively narrow; a full Bitcoin super-cycle has yet to be observed. Second, while illustrative, the Stanford case may not represent the broader institutional investor behavior.Finally, the cryptocurrency market is still evolving, and future global regulatory changes may fundamentally alter current dynamics.

7. Conclusion

This study argues, through an integrated approach of data modelling, case analysis, and academic validation, that the Bitcoin market is undergoing a structural evolution driven by institutionalization. Evidence suggests Bitcoin is shifting from a digitally-native asset dominated by retail speculation towards an alternative store of value that is increasingly analyzed, understood, and selectively integrated into the portfolios of mainstream financial and academic institutions.

Bitcoin's volatility has not diminished with institutional involvement but instead exhibits complex but quantifiable patterns which can be measured using advanced econometric tools. Institutional actions, such as the Stanford allocation, are both a result of this transformation and a catalyst for its acceleration through signalling effects. Concurrently, financial academic research is providing rigorous empirical tests for long-standing market narratives, completing the feedback loop between practice and theory.

Looking forward, the Bitcoin market will likely continue to evolve through the complex interactions of institutional capital, regulatory frameworks, technological innovation, and academic scrutiny. Its volatility will remain a sensitive indicator for monitoring market maturity, shifts in investor

composition, and systemic risk. For market participants, navigating this new environment—characterized by high growth potential, quantifiable risk features, and increasingly sophisticated narratives—will require an integrated understanding of these interconnected dynamics.

References

[1] Dyhrberg, A. H. (2016). Hedging capabilities of bitcoin. Is it the virtual gold? Finance Research Letters, 16, 139-144.

[2] Brière, M., Oosterlinck, K., & Szafarz, A. (2015). Virtual currency, tangible return: Portfolio diversification with bitcoin. Journal of Asset Management, 16(6), 365-373.

[3] StatMuse. (2025). Bitcoin Historical Price Dataset (2020-2025).

[4] Blockchain.news. (2025). Annual Thanksgiving Bitcoin Price Analysis Report.

[5] Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. Journal of Econometrics, 31(3), 307-327.

[6] NYU Stern Volatility Laboratory (V-Lab). (2025). Bitcoin GARCH Volatility Forecast. Retrieved from https://vlab.stern.nyu.edu.

[7] Gate.io Research. (2024). Case Study: The Stanford Blyth Fund‘s Strategic Allocation to Bitcoin.

[8] Patra, S., & Gupta, A. (2025). Unravelling the volume-volatility nexus in cryptos: The role of structural breaks and fat tails. European Journal of Finance, 31(1-2), 150-175.